## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE at CHATTANOOGA

TONY A. COX,	)
Plaintiff,	)
,	) Case No. 1:11-cv-00317
v.	)
	) Judge Mattice
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,	)
Commissioner of Social Security	) Magistrate Judge Lee
	)
Defendant.	)
	)

## **ORDER**

On February 7, 2013, United States Magistrate Judge Susan K. Lee filed a Report and Recommendation (Doc. 23) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). Magistrate Judge Lee recommended that Plaintiff be awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$4,809.50.

Defendant has filed no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.<sup>1</sup> Nevertheless, the Court has reviewed *de novo* the record in this matter, and it agrees with the Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned conclusions.

Accordingly, the Court **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Lee's findings of fact and conclusions of law. Plaintiff **SHALL** be awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$4,809.50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Magistrate Judge Lee specifically advised Defendant that he had 14 days in which to object to the Report and Recommendation and that failure to do so would waive his right to appeal. (Doc.23); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148-51 (1985) (noting that "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings").

**SO ORDERED** this 30th day of August, 2013.

/s/ Harry S. Mattice, Jr.
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE